

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/472,757	12/27/1999	ROBERT J. O'DONNELL	LAM133/P0582	LAM133/P0582 9169	
22434 755	90 03/27/2003				
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS LLP		EXAMI	NER	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765	15	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires		Application No.	pplicant(s)				
Examin r Lynete T. Umez-Eronini 1765	Advisory Acti n	09/472,757	O DONNELL, ROBER	RT J.			
### The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — ### REPLY FILED 27 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed dispard and the properties of the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. #### PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b.] #### The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.070. #### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.070. ### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MINED TYPE. ### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MINED TYPE. ### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MINED TYPE. ### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MINED TYPE. ### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MINED TYPE. ### ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MINED TYPE. #	•	Examin r	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a intel rejection under 37 CFR 1.135 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134. PERICO FOR REPLY (Scheck either a) or b)							
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a iniar (ejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either: (1) a timely field amendment which places the propication in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	rrespondence addre	ess			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Stk MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Experience of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.176(a) calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if merely fled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. When they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (d) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (e) they raise additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1)	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in			
the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Stensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 corn 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension exhause been finded is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension exhause the replacement of the state of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if intelligent may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.194(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Heavily proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed S	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) neglected: 1-15.19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- ''						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheef. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(c)	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejected SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejected SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. Applicant's proposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. Applicant in the final rejection in the final							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	(d) They present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•			
A. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
application in condition for allowance because: 6.		be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment			
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			dered but does NOT	place the			
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				nd an			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) objected to: none.						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,19 and 20</u> .						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
	8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)□ approved or b)□ disappı	roved by the Examin	er.			
0.☐ Other:	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
	10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

In (Once Amended) Claim 1, "A method of . . . stripping . . . --most of the-- residual sidewall . . .--wherein plasma created by the etch mask stripping gas strips away the etch mask and removes most of the residual sidewall passivation-- . .;

In (Once Amended) (Claim 15), "A method for . . . --using a stripping gas to strip-- . . . and --remove most of the-- sidewall . . . ";
In (Twice Amended) Claim 17, "--An apparatus for performing the-- method, . . . in claim 1"; and
In (Once Amended) Claim 19,"--A semiconductor chip formed by the-- method . . . in claim 1," raise new issues that require further consideration.

> porto BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700